

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE

FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO. 108 OF 2004

Office notes, office Memoranda
of Coram, appearance, Court's
orders or directions and
Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. K.K. V. Kurup for the
Appellant.

CORAM : V.G.DAGA &
R.S. MOHITE, JJ.
DATED : 21/09/2004.
.....

P.C.

1. Heard learned counsel for the
appellant.

2. During the course of the
hearing we repeatedly asked the
learned counsel for the appellant
as to how his application under
Section 20 of the Hindu Adoption
and Maintenance Act, 1956,
especially, at the instance of the
major son, is maintainable.
However. the learned counsel for
the appellant failed to reply our

query and requested for adjournment. We do not see any justification for grant of request made by the learned counsel for adjournment, especially, after having examined the impugned order and the law for the time being applicable.

3. So far as the question of jurisdiction of the family court is concerned, the Family court is right in holding that it has no jurisdiction to entertain the application in question, since Section 20 of the Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act, 1956 is not applicable to a person who is major. The application was rightly rejected by the Family Court. The appeal is therefore, dismissed in limine with no order as to costs.

(V. C. DAGA, J.)

(R.S. MOHITE, J.)